

## 2. Ansøgninger om udvikling af data

### Kritikpunkter fra peer reviewerne:

*"Der er ikke tilstrækkeligt redegjort for det metodiske grundlag"*

*"Planerne for at teste de psykometriske egenskaber er undermotiverede og utilstrækkeligt beskrevet."*

### Mulige kilder til svar på disse kritikpunkter:

[www.equator-network.org](http://www.equator-network.org) har samlet reporting guidelines for en række forskningsdesign herunder for diagnostic/prognostic studies (STARD og TRIPOD).

National Research Council. (2013): *"Subjective Well-Being: Measuring Happiness, Suffering, and Other Dimensions of Experience."* Panel on Measuring Subjective Well-Being in Policy-Relevant Framework. A.A. Stone and C. Makie, Editors. Committee on National Statistics. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

National Research Council. (2014): *"Civic Engagement and Social Cohesion: Measuring Dimensions of Social Capital to Inform Policy."* K. Prewitt, C.D. Mackie, and H. Habermann (Eds.), Panel on Measuring Social and Civic Engagement and Social Cohesion in Surveys. Committee on National Statistics. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

OECD (2013): *"OECD Guidelines on Measuring Subjective Well-being"*, OECD Publishing.

*"Program PRO – Anvendelse af PRO-data i kvalitetsudviklingen af det danske sygehusvæsen – anbefalinger og vidensgrundlag"* (2016). Videnscenter for Brugerinddragelse i Sundhedsvæsenet (ViBIS). Et samarbejdsprojekt mellem ViBIS og TrygFonden.

Reeves, Richard V., Venator, Joanna, and Howard, Kimberly (2014): *"The Character Factor: Measures and Impact of Drive and Prudence"*, Center on Children and Families at Brookings, October 22, 2014